



COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

BOARD OF ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW APPROVED MINUTES Meeting of July 29, 2005

Robin Donaldson - Chair
Kathryn Dole - 1st-Vice Chair
James King - 2nd-Vice Chair
Bethany Clough
Pamela Ferguson-Ettinger
Valerie Froscher
Chris Roberts
Kris Miller-Fisher
Anita Hodosy - BAR Secretary
Adam Baughman - Planner III

St. Marks in the Valley Episcopal Church
2905 Nojoqui Street
Los Olivos, California 93441
(805) 688-4454

The regular meeting of the Santa Barbara County Board of Architectural Review Committee was called to order by Chair, Robin Donaldson, at 9:40 P.M., at St. Marks in the Valley Episcopal Church; 2905 Nojoqui Street, Los Olivos, California.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Robin Donaldson - Chair
Kathryn Dole - 1st Vice-Chair
Bethany Clough
Chris Roberts
Kris Miller-Fisher
Anita Hodosy - BAR Secretary
Adam Baughman - Planner III

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: James King, Pamela Ferguson-Ettinger and Valerie Froscher.

REPORTERS: None in attendance

NUMBER OF INTERESTED PERSONS: - Approximately 15±

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA:

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS: *None.*

II. AGENDA STATUS REPORT: *No changes to the Agenda, all projects to be reviewed.*

III. MINUTES:

Dole moved, seconded by Miller-Fisher and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to approve the Minutes of July 8, 2005.

Fisher-Miller moved, seconded by Roberts and carried by a vote of 3 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent, Dole and Clough abstain) to approve the Minutes of July 15, 2005.

IV. BAR MEMBERS INFORMATIONAL BRIEFINGS: *None.*

V. STAFF UPDATE:

- Greg Ravatt has resigned from the BAR.
- BOS may be considering the separate BARs sometime at the end of September.
- Planning Commission retreat attended by Robin Donaldson and Valerie Froscher. BAR issues and concerns were discussed formally and informally. Key issue was the role of the Planning Commission and how the PC works legally. Third District raised the question about the role of the Planning Commission as a planning agency. Roles of Comprehensive planning under the CEO's office were discussed. The meeting was videotaped. There was

talk about doing this quarterly, and to have BAR and PC discussions together. Kris Miller-Fisher recommended that this joint meeting occur prior to any decision on the split BARs.

VI. CONSENT AGENDA: *None.*

VII. STANDARD AGENDA:

1. 05BAR-00000-00067

Lear Land Company Mixed Use Building (aka The Junction)

Los Alamos

03DVP-00000-00003 (Anne Coates, Planner)

Ridgeline: N/A

Request of Jim Reed, architect for the owner, Lear Land Company, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00067 for **further conceptual review of a mixed use building of approximately 26,038 square feet.** No structures currently exist on the parcel. The proposed project will require approximately 172 cubic yards of cut and approximately 2,132 cubic yards of fill. The property is a 36,000 square foot parcel zoned C-1 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 101-260-006, 007, located at **the corner of Bell and Main Street** in the Los Alamos area, Third Supervisorial District. (Continued from 4/08/05 & 6/10/05)

Project received further conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to return with a site visit scheduled September 30, 2005. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

Public Comment:

- a) **Nancy Reifenberg:** Concerned about child safety and 2nd floor residences. This is not a safe area to have children in. Too many intersections, accidents, alcoholic establishments in the vicinity. We need commercial in Los Alamos, not residential, especially at this location.
- b) **M. Christensen:** How are community members invited for this meeting? Would like to invite the BAR to Los Alamos. The meeting should be held in Los Alamos.
- c) **Lisa Young:** Traffic safety concerns. Los Alamos is essentially a wide spot in the freeway, no stop signs, many speeders and vehicles. Child safety on bicycles and walking to school very important. Another Van Den Berg project in Los Alamos has parking and access problems, don't want to see that happen to this project.
- d) **Lisa Mendoza:** No noticing letter received from SB County concerning other homes being built directly behind her house. Do you own other C-1 mixed use properties? [no] Will there be an onsite manager? [yes] The project may be CEQA per the planner, Anne Coates. Why is a cafe proposed? [people have approached the developer about having a cafe, no determinations have been made yet] How are the neighbors involved in determining the commercial aspect? [free market system] Is Community Room only for tenants? [yes]. Los Alamos property values are greatly increasing. The project looks good.
- e) **Developer:** The plans show a "worst-case" scenario in terms of demand, site planning, etc.
- f) **Laura Kath:** Owner of business and resident in Los Alamos. Thrilled to see this parcel being developed. It absolutely fits with western theme and atmosphere (not Montecito). Bulk is fine, two-story buildings are fine. Community room and commercial are great. Need a bank, office retail. Very positive feeling in our community about growth, fits with LACP.
- g) **Linda Smith:** Lives behind Van Den Berg townhouses that block her views. Project would be better as a place for families without children due to safety concerns.
- h) **Fredrick Blazer:** All things have already been brought up.

BAR Comments:

- a) **The Los Alamos Community Plan area has a Master Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the benefit of development within the area.**
- b) **Planning Commission will address planning-related public comments from today's meeting.**
- c) **Use historical colors (not necessarily pale colors, but Western, earthy, historical colors).**

- d) Theme and architecture is good. May be better to design units for childless families (smaller units, etc.)
- e) Cluster residential units to one side, would clarify circulation.
- f) Third story element was to be broken up, current proposal is long and has lost its variation in massing and height and is now much more massive (bring back larger drawings, scale difficult to see).
- g) Focus commercial uses on Bell Street and residential uses on Main Street.
- h) Courtyard edge could be more defined, perhaps take shade structure all of the way across.
- i) Provide more usable open space in courtyard.
- j) Use plants along posts to beautify and give life to the building.
- k) Large scale trees (such as Sycamore) are needed.
- l) Los Alamos is one of the most clearly defined towns in SB County, this site is one of the "key" sites in the town and much public input is good.
- m) Mixed-use at the site is good but BAR questions whether it is appropriate for families. Concern about safety issues for children.
- n) Pocket park is good, third story should step back, third story along pocket park will cast too many shadows (perhaps using decks). Portions of 3rd story elements of Main Street elevations could be set back.
- o) Consider making the Community Room a real Community Room for the whole community.
- p) Return for further conceptual (and BAR site visit).

Applicant Response:

- The project was designed w/ guidance from the LACP. It is zoned for the use and height.

Staff Response:

- A community meeting with the applicant and Public Works is a possibility to discuss these issues

2. **05BAR-00000-00065** **Oak Hills 21 Unit Subdivision** **Lompoc**
02NEW-00000-00223, TM 14,392 (Adam Baughman, Planner) Ridgeline: Urban
Request of Gordon Bell, agent for the applicants, Oak Hill Associates, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00065 (Formally known as 98-BAR-363) for **further conceptual review of a 2,200 to 2,600 square foot 21 unit residential development**. No structures currently exist on the parcel. The proposed grading to be determined. The property is a 16.87 acre parcel zoned RR-10 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 097-371-010, located on Oak Hill Drive in the Vandenberg Village area, Third Supervisorial District. (Continued from 11/06/98, 12/04/98, 1/08/99 & 4/8/05 & 5/27/05)

Project received further conceptual review only, no action was taken. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

- a) Use more height and fullness to the landscaping palette along Oak Hill Drive. Perhaps use oaks for street trees.
- b) Use of the trellis helps with the garage doors fronting the street but more needs to be done. Change orientations of some garages to break it up. The Planning Commission has given the BAR specific direction on this.
- c) Front yards look too plain, use of more trees is encouraged.

Project may go to the Planning Commission with BAR specific comments concerning the garage doors.

- 3. 05BAR-00000-00173 Gloria Dei Lutheran Church Addition Orcutt**
(No planner assigned) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of Aimee Cunningham, agent for the owner, Gloria Dei Lutheran Church, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00173 for **conceptual review of a worship area addition of approximately 5,000 to 6,000 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a church of approximately 2,500 to 3,000 square feet. The proposed project will not require grading. The property is a 3.0 acre parcel zoned DR-6.0 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 107-250-015, located at **4380 Orcutt Road** in the Orcutt area, Fourth Supervisorial District.
Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:
COMMENTS:
a) Project was well received. Much improved over existing.
b) Proposal relates well to existing building, presents itself well to street.
c) Churches should be distinct building, will be a nice addition to the community.
d) Consider increasing the height.
e) Flat roof design is acceptable.
f) Return for prelim/final.
- 4. 05BAR-00000-00093 McLean Commercial Façade Renovation and Addition Orcutt**
05LUP-00000-00722 (Lilly Okamura, Planner) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of Vivek Harris, agent for the owner, Don McLean, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00093 for **preliminary/final approval of a retail building façade renovation and addition of approximately 3,840 square feet to existing building.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a retail building of approximately 6,586. The proposed project will not require grading. The property is a 15,011 square foot parcel zoned OT-R-14/GC and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 105-092-013, located at **156 S. Broadway** in the Orcutt area, Fourth Supervisorial District. (Continued from 4/29/05)
ACTION: Dole moved, seconded by Clough and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to grant final approval of 05BAR-00000-00093. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:
COMMENTS:
a) Has been approved by Old Town Orcutt Arcitectural Committee
b) Final approval.
- 5. 04BAR-00000-00016 Teixeira New Winery and Wine Tasting Center Sisquoc**
04DVP-00000-00004 (Gary Kaiser, Planner) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of Tom B. Martinez, architect for the owners, Marvin and Paulette Teixeira, to consider Case No. 04BAR-00000-00016 for **final approval of a new winery of approximately 3,489 square feet and wine tasting and visitor center of approximately 2,692 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a barn of approximately 3,489 square feet, agricultural barn of approximately 1,865 square feet, agricultural barn of approximately 2,205 square feet, pole barn of approximately 7,050 square feet, residence of approximately 2,023 square feet and garage of approximately 364 square feet. The proposed project will require approximately no cubic yards of cut and approximately 10 cubic yards of fill. The property is a 529 acre parcel zoned AG-II-100 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 133-070-010, located at **9660 Foxen Canyon Road** in the Sisquoc area, Fifth Supervisorial District. (Continued from 2/13/04, 5/14/04, 7/09/04, 10/15/04 & 12/17/04)
ACTION: Clough moved, seconded by Donaldson and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to grant final approval of 04BAR-00000-00016.

6. **05BAR-00000-00154** **Gallagher Residence Addition** **Santa Ynez**
05LUP-00000-00701 (Jeanne Bozzano, Planner) Ridgeline: Rural
Request of David Mark Lane, architect for the owners, Don and Janet Gallagher, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00154 for **preliminary/final approval of residence addition of approximately 631 square feet, new cabana of approximately 609 square feet and new pool.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 4,292 square feet and attached garage of approximately 601 square feet. The proposed project will require approximately 37.4 cubic yards of cut and approximately 35 cubic yards of fill. The property is a 16.09 acre parcel zoned AG-120 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 135-320-010, located at **3310 Hillcrest Road** in the Santa Ynez area, Third Supervisorial District. (Continued from 6/24/05)
ACTION: Dole moved, seconded by Millr-Fisher and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to grant final approval of 05BAR-00000-00154.
7. **05BAR-00000-00118** **Smith New Residence** **Santa Maria**
05LUP-00000-00523 (Lilly Okamura , Planner) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of Linden Shimizu, agent for the owner, Russell Smith, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00118 for **preliminary/final approval of a new residence of approximately 2,400 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 960 square feet. The proposed project will not require grading. The property is a 7,500 square foot parcel zoned OTR-14/LC and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 105-092-006, located at **125 S. First Street** in the Santa Maria area, Fourth Supervisorial District. (Continued from 6/10/05)
ACTION: Dole moved, seconded by Clough and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to grant final approval of 05BAR-00000-00118.
8. **Site Visit** **Santa Ynez Airport** **Santa Ynez**
03CUP-00000-00042 (Gary Kaiser, Planner) Ridgeline: Urban
Request of Joan Jamieson, architect for the owner, Santa Ynez Valley Airport Authority, to consider as a discussion item to **construct security fencing around the airport perimeter, to construct 49 new hangers and to relocate heliport. The new hangers would take the place of 42 existing tie-down spaces, make the net increase in airport capacity 7 spaces, or 3% of the existing capacity.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: County Fire Station and U.S. Forrest Station, and public airport facilities. Proposed grading to be determined. The property is a 137 acre parcel zoned AG-I-5 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 141-440-002, located at **900 Airport Road** in the Santa Ynez area, Third Supervisorial District.
Project received a site visit, no action or comments were taken.
9. **05BAR-00000-00182** **Palmer Trustee Residence Addition** **Santa Ynez**
(No planner assigned) Ridgeline: Rural
Request of Bob Easton, architect for the owner, Jeff R. Palmer Trustee, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00182 for **conceptual review of a residence addition of approximately 2,165 square feet and remodel of approximately 1,692 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 6,899 square feet, open cabana of approximately 350 square feet, barn of approximately 2,900 square feet, storage building of approximately 1,600 square feet and well house of approximately 96 square feet. The proposed project will not require grading. The property is a 19.19 acre parcel zoned AG-I-10 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 135-320-071, located at **3275 Montecielo Road** in the Santa Ynez area, Third Supervisorial District.

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval. The following comments were taken by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

- a) **Being ridgeline rural, you would need an exemption from the BAR.**
- b) **There is support on the BAR for making the height limit exemption finding. [Exemption #2]**
Return for Prelim/Final. BAR must make height exemption finding for approval.

10. **05BAR-00000-00199** **Huddleston New Residence** **Los Alamos**
05LUP-00000-00326 (Lorie Baker, Planner) Ridgeline: Rural
Request of Kenneth Huddleston, owner, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00199 for **conceptual review of a new residence of approximately 4,000 square feet.** No structures currently exist on the parcel. The proposed project will require approximately 7,500 cubic yards of cut and approximately 7,600 cubic yards of fill (12% shrinkage), including 80 cubic yards of imported material. The property is a 20 acre parcel zoned AG-II-100 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 101-070-027, located at **off of Cat Canyon Road** in the Los Alamos area, Fifth Supervisorial District.

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

- **Need to provide height calculations on site plans.**
- **Return for prelim/final with planner memo.**

11. **05BAR-00000-00196** **Johnson New Residence** **Solvang**
(No Planner Assigned) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of James May Macari, architect for the owner, Chris Johnson, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00196 for **further conceptual of a new residence of approximately 4,817 square feet.** No structures currently exist on the parcel. The proposed project will require approximately 600 cubic yards of cut and fill. The property is a 7.2 acre parcel zoned A-I-5-D and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 137-620-007, located at **920 Ladan** in the Solvang area, Third Supervisorial District.

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for conceptual/preliminary/final approval /denial. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

- a) **Mass, bulk, and scale is still an issue. More of a stepped two-story structure should be pursued.**
- b) **The formal boxiness of the structure in a rural hillside site does not work well, more of an informal stepped massing would be preferable.**
- c) **The site is highly visible from surrounding areas; it is very exposed.**
- d) **Though there are other large structures in the subdivision, the proximity to a subdivision of smaller homes requires a project with less apparent mass, bulk and scale for neighborhood compatibility.**
- e) **The proposed structure towers over the valley below.**
- f) **Landscape screening is extremely important for any structure at this location.**
- g) **Applicant was advised that it has the option to pursue an appeal of the BAR's action (e.g., denial due to mass, bulk, scale and neighborhood compatibility issues) to the Planning Commission.**

12. **05BAR-00000-00192** **Trubitz Residence Addition** **Santa Ynez**
05LUP-00000-00854 (Jeanne Bozzano, Planner) Ridgeline: Rural
Request of Tom Ochsner, architect for the owners, Marc and Suzi Trubitz, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00192 for **conceptual review of a residence addition of approximately 1,277 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately

2,756 square feet and three car garage of approximately 859 square feet. The proposed project will not require grading beyond overex/recompaction and scarification. The property is a 20.61 acre parcel zoned AG-I-20 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 135-280-050/051, located at **3251 Short Road** in the Santa Ynez area, Third Supervisorial District.

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval. No additional comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project.

13. 05BAR-00000-00081 Sedlin Residence Remodel El Capitan Ranch

05LUP-00000-00353 (Allen Bell, Planner) Ridgeline: Rural
Request of Clay Aurell, agent for the owner, Adrian Sedlin, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00081 for **final approval of residential addition of approximately 756 square feet on lower level and approximately 15 square feet on main level, new deck of approximately 909 square feet and remodel of roof, entry, windows, doors and siding.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 4,062 square feet. The proposed project will require approximately 20 cubic yards of cut and approximately 12 cubic yards of fill within the existing footprint. The property is a 10.25 acre parcel zoned U under Ordinance No. 661 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 081-260-015, located at **10697 Calle Quebrada** in the El Capitan Ranch area, Third Supervisorial District. (Continued from 4/15/05 & 5/27/05 & 6/24/05)

ACTION: Dole moved, seconded by Miller-Fisher and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent, Clough abstains) to grant final approval of 05BAR-00000-00081. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

Robin Donaldson declared that he spoke to the architect the previous evening.

Staff presentation:

Clarification of the Ridgeline and Hillside Development Guideline Exemptions by P&D and BAR. P&D had encouraged the BAR to make a finding of exemption pursuant to Section 35-292b.3, Exemptions 1-2 ("unusual circumstances"/inordinate restriction of height and "interests of good design"), not Exemption 3 ("minor topographical feature"/not a true ridgeline condition), which was the exemption that the BAR incorrectly referenced in their minutes of the prior hearing (June 24, 2005) of this project. Exemption 3 can only be made by P&D, not BAR.

BAR stated that they had "agreed" with the Exemption 3, but did not necessarily grant preliminary approval on that ground.

BAR grants final approval of the project based on the BAR's ability to grant Exemptions 1 and 2 of Section 35-292b.3 of Article III (unusual circumstances and better site design). BAR notes that, due to site conditions, the project does not violate the spirit or intent of the Hillside and Ridgeline Development Guidelines. The proposed remodel reduces the height of the existing structure, which is set in a canyon and not visible from public viewpoints. Clough abstained having not attended the previous site visit.

14. 05BAR-00000-00097 Rutten Residence Addition Santa Barbara

05LUP-00000-00693 (Lisa Martin, Planner) Ridgeline: N/A
Request of Nick Vergara, architect for the owners, Maya and Raul Rutten, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00097 for **preliminary/final approval of residence addition of approximately 730 square feet.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 1,600 square feet. The proposed project will require approximately 16 cubic yards of cut and no fill. The property is a 9,082 square foot parcel zoned 8-R-1 and shown as Assessor's Parcel Number 057-061-010, located at **3938 Harrold Avenue** in the Santa Barbara area, Second Supervisorial District. (Continued from 5/13/05)

ACTION: Miller-Fisher moved, seconded by Clough and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (King, Ferguson-Ettinger and Froscher absent) to grant final approval of 05BAR-00000-00097.

The following comment was made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENT:

- **Need to write that the addition will “match existing” on the site plans.**

15. 05BAR-00000-00186 Grigor Signage Santa Barbara
05SCC-00000-00015 (Amy Trester , Planner) Ridgeline: N/A

Request of Franco Rizzo, agent for the owner, Arabyan Grigor, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00186 for **conceptual review of a post sign and wall sign**. The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a mixed use building of approximately 3,995 square feet. The proposed project will not require grading. The property is a .19 acre parcel zoned C-3 and shown as Assessor’s Parcel Number 061-005-083, located at **4422 Hollister Avenue, Suite 101** in the Santa Barbara area, Second Supervisorial District.

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval. The following comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project:

COMMENTS:

Planner Comment

- a) **Freestanding sign cannot be approved as the parcel does not have a minimum of 125-ft of street frontage**

BAR Comments:

- a) **Sign needs to be more in keeping with the architecture of the structure.**
- b) **Contemporary font does not work well with the romantic Mediterranean ambience of this particular structure.**
- c) **BAR likes the cursive sign wording over the windows. Work with planner to ensure that lettering meets sign ordinance requirements and size restrictions.**

Return for prelim/final once freestanding sign issues are worked out with planner.

16. 05BAR-00000-00132 Viniar Farm Employee Dwelling Toro Canyon
04CUP-00000-00040 (Peter Lawson, Planner) Ridgeline: N/A

Request of Victor Lozzetti, agent for the owners, David and Sue Viniar, to consider Case No. 05BAR-00000-00132 for **further conceptual review/preliminary approval of project to convert an existing dwelling of approximately 2,150 square foot to a farm employee dwelling. The existing ranch style will be changed to a Spanish style.** The following structures currently exist on the parcel: a residence of approximately 2,150 square feet. The proposed project will require approximately 10 cubic yards of cut and approximately 10 cubic yards of fill or will not require grading. The property is a 29.06 acre parcel zoned AG-I-10 and shown as Assessor’s Parcel Number 005-100-012, located at **375 Lambert Road** in the Toro Canyon area, First Supervisorial District. (Continued from 7/8/05)

Project received conceptual review only, no action was taken. Applicant to resubmit for preliminary/final approval on consent. No further comments were made by the Board of Architectural Review members present for this project.

There being no further business to come before the Board of Architectural Review Committee, Committee Member Bethany Clough moved, seconded by Chris Roberts, and carried by a vote of 5 to 0 (James King, Pamela Ferguson-Ettinger and Valerie Froscher absent) that the meeting was adjourned until 9:00 A.M. on Friday, August 12, 2005 in the Santa Barbara County Engineering Building, Room 17, 123 Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

Meeting adjourned at 4:10 P.M.